

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,500	03/15/2004	Michael R. Kling	03-1-528	9366
7590	09/01/2005		EXAM	INER
Carlo S. Bessone			BENNETT, ZAHRA I	
OSRAM SYLVANIA INC.				
100 Endicott Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Danvers, MA 01923		2875		

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		\				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/800,500	KLING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Zahra Bennett	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the maximum date of the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the maximum	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 March 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 March 2004 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a) accepted or b) obje the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the reference numbers (42 and 44) within the specification (page 6, lines 18-19) do not match the numbers in Figures 3 and 4 instead the reference number are labeled 34 and 36. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lapatovich et al. (US Patent Publication 2003/0193280).

Lapatovich discloses a lamp assembly comprising:

a light source (Figure 1: 12) having two sealed electrodes (14,16) sealed in a seal area (34) and defining a lamp axis (18); a concave shell (20) having an internal surface with a reflective surface (22) formed thereon, the shell has a neck (24) defining a neck cavity (26) and a reflector axis (28), the neck being provided with an electrical connection (30) and a mechanical support for the light source ([0011] lines 8-9); said shell surrounding the source is reflecting light from the light source to a field to be illuminated during lamp operation, the source and the reflector are oriented with the lamp axis to be substantially co-axial with the reflector axis, and at least a portion of at least one of the electrodes extending in said neck cavity (Figure 1); and a zone (38, see [0015] lines 18-23) formed in the neck cavity for substantially redirecting specular reflection away from the seal area.

Art Unit: 2875

Regarding claim 3, the sand blasted surface of Lapatovich et al. is a so-called "stippled pattern".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lapatovich et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bergman (US Patent 6,252,338). Lapatovich does not teach a plurality of facets arrayed about the surface of the neck cavity or a plurality of closely spaced longitudinal grooves arrayed about the surface of the neck cavity. In a similar device, Bergman teaches a lamp wherein the zone comprises of a plurality of facets (Figure 1: 33) and a plurality of closely spaced longitudinal grooves arrayed about the surface of the neck cavity (Column 2, line 47-51). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to place a plurality of facets and closely spaced longitudinal grooves arrayed on the surface of the neck cavity to have the reflecting light avoid the source and thus the light scattered by the light source is minimized. One would have been motivated to so modify Lapatovich et al. for the benefit of increased luminous efficiency.

Art Unit: 2875

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zahra Bennett whose telephone number is 571-272-2267. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Renee Luebke can be reached on 571-272-2009. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-2267.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Gray Primary Examiner Page 5